



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
. 09/773,054	01/31/2001	B. Michael Eckard	60990043-1	6040
7590 12/23/2002 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400		7	EXAMINER .	
			NGHIEM, M	NGHIEM, MICHAEL P
Fort Collins, C	O 80527-2400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2863	

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	W			
Advisory Action	09/773,054	ECKARD ET AL.	•			
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael P Nghiem	2863				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 11 December 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave The inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) Condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application application abandonment of this application about the control of the contro	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a ition in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the main	g date of the final rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	Brief must be filed within the pe R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in f the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the			
(d)  they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti</li></ol>	on(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NO	T place the			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo	)∏ will be entered a ow or appended.	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			•			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>3-9,11,18-22,24,28,29 and 31-33</u> .	•					
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,10,12-17,23,25-27 and 30</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Exami	iner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u></u> .				
10.☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> MICHAEL NGHIEM  PRIMARY EXAMINER						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Amendments to claims 1, 17, and 30, "... the first service module being in an un-worn condition" raise new issue of definition over the prior art of record.

Continuation of 10. Other: Attached Notice of Reference Cited. Garcia et al. (US 6,042,216) discloses a first (236) and second (234) service modules for servicing a printhead (Fig. 14a), both are required to adequately service the printhead. The first service module (236) in an un-worn condition does not adequately service the printhead by itself..